

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,055	07/09/2003	Amarpreet S. Sawhney	3516.10US02	9525
02274 7590 080072009 DARDI & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 220 S. 6TH ST. SUITE 2000, U.S. BANK PLAZA MINNEAPOLIS. MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			PAK, JOHN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/616,055	SAWHNEY, AMARPREET S.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Pak	1616			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>John Pak</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Curtis Herbert</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 5 August 2009	Time:				
Type of Interview:	cant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed: Copending application, 11/406,791					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/616,055

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed that the claims of copending 11/406,791 were amended such that they now raise an issue of obviousness type double patenting rejection. Mr. Herbert stated that appicant may be willing to file a terminal disclaimer over said application in order to obtain an allowance in this application. The Examiner stated that an Advisory action to that effect will soon be issued.